

# ON THE REALIZATION OF RIEMANNIAN SYMMETRIC SPACES IN LIE GROUPS<sup>1</sup>

JINPENG AN AND ZHENG DONG WANG

**Abstract.** In this paper we give a realization of some symmetric space  $G/K$  as a closed submanifold  $P$  of  $G$ . We also give several equivalent representations of the submanifold  $P$ . Some properties of the set  $gK \cap P$  are also discussed, where  $gK$  is a coset space in  $G$ .

**Keywords.** Symmetric space, Involution, Embedded submanifold, Principal bundle

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose  $G$  is a connected Lie group,  $K$  a closed subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $G/K$  is a homogeneous space. When  $G$ , being considered as a principal  $K$ -bundle, is trivial, there is a global section  $S$  of this bundle. In this case, there is a natural isomorphism  $G/K \cong S$ . This happens when  $G$  is semisimple and  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ , thanks to the Cartan decomposition. If  $G$  is not a trivial  $K$ -bundle, we seldom consider  $G/K$  as a submanifold of  $G$ . In this paper, we show that when  $G/K$  has the structure of Riemannian symmetric space where  $K$  is the closed subgroup of  $G$  consist of the fixed points of the involution of  $G$  defining the symmetric space, we can embed  $G/K$  in  $G$  as a closed submanifold in a good manner.

More precisely, let  $G$  be a connected Lie group,  $\sigma$  an involution of  $G$ . Let  $K = \{g \in G | \sigma(g) = g\}$ , then  $K$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ . We suppose there exists a  $G$ -invariant Riemannian structure on  $G/K$ . Then  $G/K$  becomes a Riemannian (globally) symmetric space (see Helgason [2]). In this case, we call the triple  $(G, \sigma, K)$  a *Riemannian symmetric triple*. The differential  $d\sigma$  of  $\sigma$  gives an involution of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Let  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be the eigenspaces of  $d\sigma$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  with eigenvalues 1 and  $-1$ , respectively. Then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ . They satisfy the relations  $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$ ,  $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}$ , and  $[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{k}$  is the Lie algebra of  $K$ . Note also that there exists a  $G$ -invariant Riemannian structure on  $G/K$  if and only if  $\text{Ad}(K)|_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{\text{Ad}(k)|_{\mathfrak{p}} \in GL(\mathfrak{p}) | k \in K\}$  is compact.

In §2, we will prove that  $P = \exp(\mathfrak{p})$  is a closed submanifold of  $G$ , and there is a natural isomorphism  $G/K \cong P$ . That is,  $G/K$  can be embedded as a closed submanifold of  $G$ . The most hard part of the proof is the closedness of  $P$ . We

<sup>1</sup>This work is supported by the 973 Project Foundation of China (#TG1999075102).  
AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classifications: Primary 22E15; secondary 57R40, 53C35.

will deduce it by proving the fact that  $P$  coincides with the connected component  $R_0$  containing  $e$  of the set  $R = \{g \in G | \sigma(g) = g^{-1}\}$ . In fact, we will give several equivalent representations of  $P$ , namely  $P = Q = R_0 = R'_0 = R^2$ . This is our main Theorem 2.5 in §2. Then, as a corollary, we get the natural embedding of  $G/K$  in  $G$ . In the case that  $G$  is semisimple, the relation  $P = R_0$  was mentioned in Hermann [3], Ch. 6, but the author did not give a proof there. It is interesting to notice that we can prove each connected component of  $R$  is a closed submanifold of  $G$ , but different components may have different dimensions.

Even if  $P$  is a closed submanifold of  $G$ , it is not a global section of the principal  $K$ -bundle  $G \rightarrow G/K$  in general. But since  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $P$  is a local section around  $[e] = K$ . Then it is naturally to ask that how far is  $P$  from being a global section. This will be discussed in §3. We will prove, among other things, that  $gK \cap P \neq \emptyset$  for each coset space  $gK$ , and almost all coset space  $gK$  intersects  $P$  transversally.

We would like to thank Professor U. Magnea for helpful discussion. In fact, his work with Professor M. Caselle on random matrix theory of symmetric spaces [1] is the motivation for us to concentrate on the problems of this paper.

## 2. REALIZATION OF SYMMETRIC SPACES IN LIE GROUPS

We always suppose  $(G, \sigma, K)$  is a Riemannian symmetric triple as we have defined in section §1 from now on. We construct the sets

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \exp(\mathfrak{p}), \\ Q &= \{g\sigma(g)^{-1} | g \in G\}, \\ R &= \{g \in G | \sigma(g) = g^{-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $R_0, R'_0$  be the connected component and the path component of  $R$  containing the identity  $e$ , respectively, and let  $R^2 = \{g^2 | g \in R\}$ . Let  $K_0$  be the identity component of  $K$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *The map  $\Phi : P \times K_0 \rightarrow G, \Phi(p, k) = pk$  is surjective.*

*Proof.*  $\forall g \in G$ , we prove that there are  $k \in K_0$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $g = e^X k$ . Note that  $G/K_0$  is also a symmetric space, which is a covering space of  $G/K$ . We denote  $x_0 = [e] \in G/K_0$ , and let  $x_1 = gx_0$ . Let  $\gamma(t)$  be a geodesic in  $G/K_0$  such that  $\gamma(0) = x_0$  and  $\gamma(1) = x_1$ . Then  $\gamma(t)$  is of the form  $\gamma(t) = e^{tX}x_0$  for some  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$  (see Helgason [2], Ch. IV, §3). So  $x_1 = e^X x_0$ . Let  $k = e^{-X}g$ , we have  $kx_0 = e^{-X}(gx_0) = e^{-X}x_1 = x_0$ . So  $k \in K_0$ , and  $g = e^X k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *For all  $p, p' \in P$ , we have  $pp'p \in P$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $p' = e^X$ , where  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $p_1 = e^{X/2} \in P$ , then  $p' = p_1^2$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $pp_1 = p_2k$  for some  $p_2 \in P, k \in K_0$ . Then we have  $p^{-1}p_1^{-1} = \sigma(pp_1) = \sigma(p_2k) = p_2^{-1}k$ , this implies  $p_1p = k^{-1}p_2$ . So  $pp'p = (pp_1)(p_1p) = (p_2k)(k^{-1}p_2) = p_2^2 \in P$ .  $\square$

A neighborhood  $U$  of 0 in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is *symmetric* if  $X \in U$  implies  $-X \in U$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose  $U$  is a symmetric neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $d\sigma(U) = U$  such that  $\exp|_U : U \rightarrow \exp(U)$  is a diffeomorphism. If  $g \in \exp(U)$  satisfies  $\sigma(g) = g^{-1}$ , then  $g \in P$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $g = e^X$ ,  $X \in U$ . Applying the equation  $\exp \circ d\sigma = \sigma \circ \exp$  to  $X$ , we have  $\exp(d\sigma(X)) = \sigma(\exp(X)) = \exp(-X)$ . Since  $U$  is symmetric and  $d\sigma(U) = U$ ,  $d\sigma(X), -X \in U$ . But  $\exp$  is injective on  $U$ , so we have  $d\sigma(X) = -X$ . This implies  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $g = e^X \in P$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose  $U$  is a symmetric neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $d\sigma(U) = U$  such that  $\exp|_U$  is a diffeomorphism onto its image, and suppose  $p \in P$ . If  $g \in p \exp(U)p$  satisfies  $\sigma(g) = g^{-1}$ . Then  $g \in P$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $g \in p \exp(U)p$ ,  $p^{-1}gp^{-1} \in \exp(U)$ . But  $\sigma(p^{-1}gp^{-1}) = pg^{-1}p = (p^{-1}gp^{-1})^{-1}$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $p^{-1}gp^{-1} \in P$ . Then by Lemma 2.2,  $g \in P$ .  $\square$

Now we are prepared to formulate our main Theorem in this section.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Suppose  $(G, \sigma, K)$  is a Riemannian symmetric triple, and let the subsets  $P, Q, R, R_0, R'_0, R^2$  of  $G$  be as defined above. Then  $P = Q = R_0 = R'_0 = R^2$ .*

*Proof.* We prove  $P \subset R^2 \subset Q \subset R'_0 \subset P$  and  $R_0 = R'_0$ .

(i) “ $P \subset R^2$ .” Suppose  $g \in P$ , then  $g = e^X$  for some  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ . But  $\sigma(e^{X/2}) = e^{d\sigma(X/2)} = e^{-X/2}$ , so  $e^{X/2} \in R$ , and then  $g = (e^{X/2})^2 \in R^2$ .

(ii) “ $R^2 \subset Q$ .” Suppose  $g \in R^2$ , then  $g = h^2$ ,  $\sigma(h) = h^{-1}$ . Now  $h\sigma(h)^{-1} = h^2 = g$ , so  $g \in Q$ .

(iii) “ $Q \subset R'_0$ .” For  $g\sigma(g)^{-1} \in Q$ ,  $\sigma(g\sigma(g)^{-1}) = \sigma(g)g^{-1} = (g\sigma(g)^{-1})^{-1}$ , so  $Q \subset R$ . But  $Q$  is path connected and containing  $e$ , so  $Q \subset R'_0$ .

(iv) “ $R'_0 \subset P$ .” We first suppose that  $G$  is simply connected. Let  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow R'_0$  be a continuous path in  $R'_0$  with  $g(0) = e$ , it suffices to prove  $g(1) \in P$ . Let  $S = \{t \in [0, 1] | g(t) \in P\}$ . Since  $g(0) \in P$ ,  $0 \in S$ , so  $S \neq \emptyset$ . We will prove that  $S$  is open and closed. Then by the connectedness of  $[0, 1]$ ,  $S = [0, 1]$ , and then we will have  $g(1) \in P$ .

For the openness of  $S$ , suppose  $t_0 \in S$ , that is  $g(t_0) = e^X$  for some  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $p = e^{X/2}$ , then  $g(t_0) = p^2$ . Let  $U$  be a symmetric neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $d\sigma(U) = U$  such that  $\exp|_U$  is a diffeomorphism onto its image. Then  $p \exp(U)p$  is a neighborhood of  $g(t_0)$ . So there is an open neighborhood  $(t_1, t_2)$  of  $t_0$  such that  $g(t) \in p \exp(U)p, \forall t \in (t_1, t_2)$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $g(t) \in P$ , that is  $t \in S, \forall t \in (t_1, t_2)$ . This proves the openness.

To prove that  $S$  is closed, we endow a left invariant Riemannian structure on  $G$ . This induces a left invariant metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $G$ . Since  $G$  is simply connected, there is an  $\text{Ad}(G)$  invariant,  $d\sigma$ -invariant symmetric neighborhood  $V$  of 0 in  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\exp|_V$  is a diffeomorphism onto its image (see Varadarajan [5], Theorem 2.14.6). Then  $g \exp(V)g^{-1} = \exp(V), \forall g \in G$ . Let  $r > 0$  such that  $B_r(e) = \{g \in G | d(e, g) < r\} \subset \exp(V)$ . Suppose  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset S$  is a sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = t_0$ , we prove  $t_0 \in S$ . Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(g(t_N), g(t_0)) < r$ . Since  $g(t_N) \in P$ ,  $g(t_N) = p^2$  for some  $p \in P$ . So  $g(t_0) \in g(t_N)B_r(e) = p^2B_r(e) \subset p^2\exp(V) =$

$p^2(p^{-1}\exp(V)p) = p\exp(V)p$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $g(t_0) \in P$ . Hence  $S$  is closed. This conclude the proof when  $G$  is simply connected.

For general  $G$ , let  $\tilde{G}$  be its universal covering group with covering map  $\pi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ . Let the corresponding involution of  $\tilde{G}$  is  $\tilde{\sigma}$ , and let  $\tilde{R}'_0, \tilde{P}$  be the corresponding subsets of  $\tilde{G}$ . We claim that  $R'_0 \subset \pi(\tilde{R}'_0)$ . In fact, suppose  $g \in R'_0$ . Then there is a continuous path  $g(t)(t \in [0, 1])$  in  $R'_0$  such that  $g(0) = e$  and  $g(1) = g$ . Let  $\tilde{g}(t)$  be a lift of  $g(t)$  to  $\tilde{G}$  such that  $\tilde{g}(0) = e$ . Then  $\pi(\tilde{g}(t)\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{g}(t))) = g(t)\sigma(g(t)) = e$ , that is  $\tilde{g}(t)\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{g}(t)) \in \ker(\pi)$ . But  $\ker(\pi)$  is discrete and  $\tilde{g}(0)\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{g}(0)) = e$ , so  $\tilde{g}(t)\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{g}(t)) = e$ , that is  $\tilde{g}(t) \in \tilde{R}'_0$ . In particular,  $\tilde{g}(1) \in \tilde{R}'_0$ . But  $g = g(1) = \pi(\tilde{g}(1))$ , so  $g \in \pi(\tilde{R}'_0)$ . Hence we have  $R'_0 \subset \pi(\tilde{R}'_0) \subset \pi(\tilde{P}) = P$ . Then (iv) is proved.

(v) “ $R_0 = R'_0$ .” It is well known that  $R'_0 \subset R_0$ . To prove the converse, we let  $V = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid |\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)| < \pi \text{ for each eigenvalue } \lambda \text{ of } \operatorname{ad}(X)\}$ . Then  $V$  is an  $\operatorname{Ad}(G)$  invariant,  $d\sigma$ -invariant symmetric neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ . By Varadarajan [5], Theorem 2.14.6, there is a discrete additive subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that for  $X, X' \in V$ ,  $e^X = e^{X'}$  if and only if  $X - X' \in \Gamma$ . Choose a neighborhood  $U \subset V$  of  $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $\exp|_U$  is a diffeomorphism onto its image and  $\exp(U) = B_{r_0}(e)$ . ( $G$  being endowed a left invariant Riemannian structure.) For a continuous function  $\rho : G \rightarrow (0, r_0)$ , let  $N_\rho = \bigcup_{g \in R'_0} B_{\rho(g)}(g)$ . Then  $N_\rho$  is an open neighborhood of  $R'_0$ . It is easy to prove that  $R'_0 \subset N_{\rho/2} \subset \overline{N_{\rho/2}} \subset N_\rho$ . We will prove that for sufficient small  $\rho : G \rightarrow (0, r_0)$ ,  $N_\rho \cap R = R'_0$ . So  $R'_0$  is open in  $R$ .  $N_\rho \cap R = R'_0$  implies  $\overline{N_{\rho/2}} \cap R = R'_0$ , so  $R'_0$  is closed in  $R$ . This means that  $R'_0$  is in fact a connected component of  $R$ . So we will have  $R_0 = R'_0$ .

Now we prove  $N_\rho \cap R = R'_0$  for sufficient small  $\rho$ . Since  $\Gamma \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is discrete, there is  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B_\epsilon(0) \cap \Gamma = \{0\}$ . Let  $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots \subset K_n \subset \cdots$  be a sequence of compact subsets of  $G$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n = G$ . Let  $C_n = \sup_{g \in K_n} \|d\sigma + \operatorname{Ad}(g)\| + 1$ . Choose  $r_n \in (0, r_0)$  such that  $B_{r_n}(e) \subset \exp(B_{\epsilon/C_n}(0))$ . We claim that if the function  $\rho : G \rightarrow (0, r_0)$  satisfies  $\rho(g) < r_n$ , for  $g \in K_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $N_\rho \cap R = R'_0$ . In fact, for  $g' \in N_\rho \cap R$ , by the definition of  $N_\rho$ , there exists  $g \in R'_0$  such that  $g' \in B_{\rho(g)}(g)$ . Suppose  $g \in K_n$ . Let  $g' = gh$ . By the left invariance of the Riemannian structure,  $h \in B_{\rho(g)}(e) \subset B_{r_n}(e)$ . Suppose  $h = e^X$ , where  $X \in U$ . By  $B_{r_n}(e) \subset \exp(B_{\epsilon/C_n}(0))$ , we know that  $|X| < \epsilon/C_n$ . Since  $g, g' \in R$ ,  $g^{-1}\sigma(h) = \sigma(gh) = \sigma(g') = g'^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1}$ , that is  $\sigma(h) = gh^{-1}g^{-1}$ . But  $h = e^X$ , this implies  $\exp(d\sigma(X)) = \exp(-\operatorname{Ad}(g)X)$ . Since  $d\sigma(X), -\operatorname{Ad}(g)X \in V$ ,  $d\sigma(X) - (-\operatorname{Ad}(g)X) = (d\sigma + \operatorname{Ad}(g))X \in \Gamma$ . But  $|(d\sigma + \operatorname{Ad}(g))X| \leq \|d\sigma + \operatorname{Ad}(g)\| \cdot |X| < C_n \cdot \epsilon/C_n = \epsilon$ . So  $(d\sigma + \operatorname{Ad}(g))X \in B_\epsilon(0) \cap \Gamma = \{0\}$ , that is,  $d\sigma(X) = -\operatorname{Ad}(g)X$ . Now let  $\gamma(t) = ge^{tX}$ , then  $\gamma(0) = g, \gamma(1) = g'$ . But  $\sigma(\gamma(t)) = \sigma(ge^{tX}) = g^{-1}\exp(td\sigma(X)) = g^{-1}\exp(-t\operatorname{Ad}(g)X) = g^{-1}ge^{-tX}g^{-1} = (ge^{tX})^{-1} = \gamma(t)^{-1}$ . So  $\gamma(t) \in R$ . This proves  $g' = \gamma(1) \in R'_0$ . (v) is proved.  $\square$

*Remark 2.1.* In general,  $P \subsetneq R$ , even in some very simple cases. For example, Let  $G = SL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\sigma(g) = (g^t)^{-1}$ . Then  $\operatorname{diag}(-1, \dots, -1)$  is in  $R$ , but not in  $P$ . It is obvious by the above theorem that  $P = R$  if and only if  $R$  is connected (or path connected).

*Remark 2.2.* Using the same method as in the proof of (v) of the above theorem, we can prove that for each path component  $R'_i$  of  $R$ , there are open subsets  $U_i, V_i$  of

$G$  such that  $R'_i \subset V_i \subset \overline{V_i} \subset U_i$  and  $U_i \cap R = R'_i$ . Thus  $R'_i$  is open and closed in  $R$ , and then  $R'_i$  is in fact a connected component of  $R$ . The proof of (v) of the above theorem also shows that  $R'_0$  is a closed submanifold of  $G$  of dimension  $\dim(\ker(d\sigma + \text{Ad}(g)))$ ,  $g \in R'_0$ . Similarly, each  $R'_i$  is a closed submanifold of  $G$  of dimension  $\dim(\ker(d\sigma + \text{Ad}(g)))$ ,  $g \in R'_i$ . So each connected component of  $R$  is a closed submanifold of  $G$ . But different components of  $R$  can have different dimensions. For example, let  $G = SO(5)$  and  $\sigma(g) = sgs$ , where  $s = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$ . Then  $\dim R'_0 = \dim(\ker(d\sigma + \text{Ad}(e))) = 4$ . But  $g_0 = \text{diag}(-1, -1, -1, -1, 1) \in R$ , and the connected component of  $R$  containing  $g_0$  has dimension  $\dim(\ker(d\sigma + \text{Ad}(g_0))) = 6$ . We leave the detail of the proofs of these conclusions to the reader.

We define the *twisted conjugate action* of  $G$  on  $G$  by  $\tau_g(h) = gh\sigma(g)^{-1}$ . Then  $Q = P$  is the orbit of this action containing the identity  $e$ . The next conclusion says that the symmetric space  $G/K$  can be embedded in  $G$  as a closed submanifold, which is just the set  $P \subset G$ .

**Corollary 2.6.**  *$P$  is a closed submanifold of  $G$ . The map  $\varphi : G/K \rightarrow P$  defined by  $\varphi([g]) = g\sigma(g)^{-1}$  is a diffeomorphism. Under the actions of  $G$  by left multiplication on  $G/K$  and by the twisted conjugate action on  $P$ , the isomorphism  $\varphi$  is equivariant.*

*Proof.* The twisted conjugate action  $\tau$  is smooth, so  $Q$ , as an orbit of this action, is an immersion submanifold of  $G$ . As a connected component of  $R$ ,  $R_0$  is closed in  $R$ . But  $R$  is closed in  $G$ , so  $R_0$  is closed in  $G$ . By Theorem 2.5,  $P = Q = R_0$  is a closed submanifold of  $G$ . Notice that the isotropic subgroup of the action  $\tau$  associated with the identity  $e$  is just  $K$ , so  $\varphi : G/K \rightarrow P$  is a diffeomorphism. It is obviously equivariant.  $\square$

*Remark 2.3.* Corollary 2.6 says that the symmetric space  $G/K$  can be realized in  $G$  as a closed submanifold, which is just  $P$ . But we should point out that for any subgroup  $K'$  of  $G$  satisfying  $K_0 \subset K' \subset K$ ,  $G/K'$  is also a symmetric space. In general,  $G/K'$  can not be embedded in  $G$  as closed submanifold. The submanifold  $P \subset G$  is isomorphic at most one of such  $G/K'$ , and this happens if and only if  $K' = K$ .

*Remark 2.4.* If  $G$  is compact, the closedness of  $P$  can be implied from the fact that  $P = R^2$ , which is much easier to be obtained than  $P = R_0$ . In fact, to prove that  $P$  is a closed submanifold when  $G$  is compact, we need only to show that  $P = R^2 = Q$ .  $P \subset R^2 \subset Q$  have been showed in the proof of Theorem 2.5, (i) and (ii).  $Q \subset P$  can be proved by the following simple argument. Let  $g = h\sigma(h)^{-1} \in Q$ . Since  $h$  can be expressed as  $h = pk$ , where  $p \in P, k \in K$ ,  $g = (pk)(\sigma(pk))^{-1} = (pk)(p^{-1}k)^{-1} = p^2 \in P$ .

### 3. HOW FAR IS $P$ FROM BEING A SECTION

We regard  $G$  as a principal  $K$ -bundle with base space  $G/K$ . We have proved that  $P = \exp(\mathfrak{p})$  is a closed submanifold of  $G$ . It is obvious that the tangent space  $T_e P$  of  $P$  at  $e$  is  $\mathfrak{p}$ . But  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ . So  $P$  is a local section of the bundle  $G \rightarrow G/K$  around  $[e]$ . If  $G$  is semisimple (or more generally, connected reductive, in the sense of Knapp [4]) and  $\sigma$  is the global Cartan involution of  $G$ , then  $K = K_0$ , and by

the Cartan decomposition, the map  $\Phi : P \times K \rightarrow G$  defined in Lemma 2.1 is a diffeomorphism. So  $G$  is a trivial  $K$ -bundle, and  $P$  is a global section. This means that for each coset space  $gK$ ,  $gK \cap P$  consists of just one point. But in general  $G$  is not a trivial  $K$ -bundle. So we may ask the question: for a coset space  $gK$ , how many points in  $gK \cap P$ ?

**Theorem 3.1.** *For each coset space  $gK_0$ , there is a homeomorphism between  $gK_0 \cap P$  and  $\Phi^{-1}(g)$ . In particular,  $gK_0 \cap P \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi_1 : P \times K_0 \rightarrow P$  be the projection to the first factor. We prove that  $\pi_1|_{\Phi^{-1}(g)}$  is a homeomorphism between  $\Phi^{-1}(g)$  and  $gK_0 \cap P$ . First, let  $(p, k) \in \Phi^{-1}(g)$ , then  $g = pk$ . So  $\pi_1(p, k) = p = gk^{-1} \in gK_0 \cap P$ . This proves  $\pi_1(\Phi^{-1}(g)) \subset gK_0 \cap P$ . Let  $(p_1, k_1), (p_2, k_2) \in \Phi^{-1}(g)$  and  $(p_1, k_1) \neq (p_2, k_2)$ . Since  $p_1k_1 = p_2k_2 = g$ ,  $p_1 \neq p_2$ . This shows  $\pi_1|_{\Phi^{-1}(g)}$  is injective. Let  $p \in gK_0 \cap P$ , then there is some  $k \in K_0$  such that  $p = gk$ . So  $(p, k^{-1}) \in \Phi^{-1}(g)$ , and  $\pi_1(p, k^{-1}) = p$ . This means that  $\pi_1|_{\Phi^{-1}(g)} : \Phi^{-1}(g) \rightarrow gK_0 \cap P$  is surjective. Since  $\pi_1$  is continuous and open, so is  $\pi_1|_{\Phi^{-1}(g)}$ . Hence  $\pi_1|_{\Phi^{-1}(g)} : \Phi^{-1}(g) \rightarrow gK_0 \cap P$  is a homeomorphism. By Lemma 2.1,  $\Phi$  is surjective. So  $gK_0 \cap P \cong \Phi^{-1}(g) \neq \emptyset$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *For each coset space  $gK$ ,  $gK \cap P \neq \emptyset$ .*  $\square$

Similar to the map  $\Phi : P \times K_0 \rightarrow G$ , we can define the map  $\Phi' : P \times K \rightarrow G$  by  $\Phi(p, k) = pk$ . It is easy to see that  $\Phi'$  satisfies all the properties of  $\Phi$  that we have mentioned above.

In the following we denote the left and right translations of  $g \in G$  by  $L_g$  and  $R_g$ , respectively.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $g \in G$ . Then  $g$  is a regular value of  $\Phi'$  if and only if  $gK$  intersects  $P$  transversally.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(p, k) \in \Phi'^{-1}(g)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Im}(d\Phi')_{(p,k)} \\ &= (d\Phi')_{(p,k)}(T_{(p,k)}(P \times \{k\})) + (d\Phi')_{(p,k)}(T_{(p,k)}(\{p\} \times K)) \\ &= T_g(Pk) + T_g(pK) \\ &= (dR_k)_p(T_pP) + (dR_k)_p(T_p(gK)) \\ &= (dR_k)_p(T_pP + T_p(gK)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(dR_k)_p$  is an isomorphism and  $\pi_1(\Phi'^{-1}(g)) = gK \cap P$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g \text{ is a regular value of } \Phi' \\ & \Leftrightarrow \text{Im}(d\Phi')_{(p,k)} = T_gG, \quad \forall (p, k) \in \Phi'^{-1}(g) \\ & \Leftrightarrow T_pP + T_p(gK) = T_pG, \quad \forall (p, k) \in \Phi'^{-1}(g) \\ & \Leftrightarrow T_pP + T_p(gK) = T_pG, \quad \forall p \in gK \cap P \\ & \Leftrightarrow gK \text{ intersects } P \text{ transversally.} \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *The set of all regular values of  $\Phi'$  is right  $K$ -invariant.*

*Proof.* For  $g \in G$  and  $k_1 \in K$ ,  $(p, k) \in \Phi'^{-1}(g) \Leftrightarrow (p, kk_1) \in \Phi'^{-1}(gk_1)$ . Since

$$R_{k_1} \circ \Phi' = \Phi' \circ (id \times R_{k_1}),$$

for  $(p, k) \in \Phi'^{-1}(g)$ , we have

$$(dR_{k_1})_g \circ (d\Phi')_{(p,k)} = (d\Phi')_{(p,kk_1)} \circ (id \times dR_{k_1})_{(p,k)}.$$

Since  $(dR_{k_1})_g$  and  $(id \times dR_{k_1})_{(p,k)}$  are isomorphisms,  $(d\Phi')_{(p,k)}$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $(d\Phi')_{(p,kk_1)}$  is an isomorphism. So  $g$  is a regular value if and only if  $gk_1$  is a regular value. This proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *For almost all coset space  $gK$  in  $G/K$ ,  $gK$  intersects  $P$  transversally.*

*Proof.* Let  $G_r$  be the set of all regular values of  $\Phi'$ . By Sard's Theorem,  $G \setminus G_r$  is a set with measure zero. But Lemma 3.4 tells us that  $G_r$  is the union of some coset spaces  $gK$ . So by choosing local trivializations of the principal bundle  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/K$  and using Fubini's Theorem, we know that  $\pi(G \setminus G_r) = (G/K) \setminus \pi(G_r)$  has measure zero in  $G/K$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $[g] = gK$  intersects  $P$  transversally,  $\forall [g] \in \pi(G_r)$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *For almost all coset space  $gK$  in  $G/K$ ,  $gK \cap P$  is a discrete set. In particular, if  $K$  is compact, then  $gK \cap P$  is a finite set for almost all coset space  $gK$  in  $G/K$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\dim(gK) + \dim P = \dim G$ ,  $gK$  intersects  $P$  transversally implies that  $gK \cap P$  is a 0-dimensional submanifold of  $G$ , which is discrete. In particular, if  $K$  is compact, then so is  $gK$ . But  $gK \cap P \subset gK$ . So  $gK$  intersects  $P$  transversally implies  $gK \cap P$  is a finite set. By Theorem 3.5, the corollary holds.  $\square$

Denote  $x_0 = [e] \in G/K$ . We know that a curve  $\gamma(t) (t \in \mathbb{R})$  in  $G/K$  with  $\gamma(0) = x_0$  is a geodesic if and only if  $\gamma(t) = e^{tX}x_0$  for some  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ , and such  $X$  is unique.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $g \in G$ . If for every two geodesics  $\gamma_i(t) = e^{tX_i}x_0 (i = 1, 2)$  through the point  $[g]$ , where  $X_i \in \mathfrak{p}$ , we have  $[X_1, X_2] = 0$ . Then  $\#(gK \cap P) \leq \#(K \cap P)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $g = pk$ , where  $p \in P, k \in K$ . We prove  $L_{p^{-1}}(gK \cap P) \subset (K \cap P)$ . Suppose  $p' \in (gK \cap P)$ . Since  $p \in gK$ ,  $L_{p^{-1}}(p') = p^{-1}p' \in K$ . Let  $p = e^X, p' = e^{X'}$ , where  $X, X' \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $[p] = [p'] = [g]$ , the two geodesics  $\gamma_1(t) = e^{tX}x_0$  and  $\gamma_2(t) = e^{tX'}x_0$  satisfy  $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(1) = [p]$ . By the conditions of the theorem,  $[X, X'] = 0$ . So  $L_{p^{-1}}(p') = e^{-X}e^{X'} = e^{X'-X} \in P$ . This proves  $L_{p^{-1}}(gK \cap P) \subset (K \cap P)$ . But  $L_{p^{-1}}$  is injective, this proves the theorem.  $\square$

By Lemma 2.1, all coset space  $gK$  is of the form  $pK$  for some  $p \in P$ . We conclude this section by an example in which we show what the set  $pK \cap P$  is for each  $p \in P$ .

*Example.* Let  $G = SU(2)$ ,  $\sigma(g) = (g^t)^{-1}$ . Then  $K = SO(2)$ .

$$R = \{g \in SU(2) | g^t = g\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a+bi & ci \\ ci & a-bi \end{pmatrix} \middle| a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

So  $R \cong S^2$  is connected, and then  $P = R$ . For  $p \in P$ , we show what the set  $pK \cap P$  is. The element of  $pK$  has the form  $pk, k \in K$ . But

$$pk \in P \Leftrightarrow \sigma(pk) = (pk)^{-1} \Leftrightarrow p^{-1}k = k^{-1}p^{-1} \Leftrightarrow kp = pk^{-1}.$$

Let  $k = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $p = \begin{pmatrix} a+bi & ci \\ ci & a-bi \end{pmatrix}$ . Then it is easy to show that  $kp = pk^{-1} \Leftrightarrow a \sin \theta = 0$ . So if  $a \neq 0$ ,  $pk \in P \Leftrightarrow \sin \theta = 0 \Leftrightarrow k = \pm I$ . In this case  $pK \cap P = \{\pm p\}$ . In particular,  $K \cap P = \{\pm I\}$ . If  $a = 0$ , then  $\forall k \in K, pk \in P$ . This implies  $pK \subset P$ . So in this case,  $pK \cap P = pK$ . It should be noted that all  $p = \begin{pmatrix} bi & ci \\ ci & -bi \end{pmatrix}$  correspond the same coset space  $pK$ , which is the antipodal point of  $[e]$  in the symmetric space  $SU(2)/SO(2) \cong S^2$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Caselle, M. and Magnea, U., Random matrix theory and symmetric spaces, Phys. Rep. 394, 41-156, 2004.
- [2] Helgason, S., *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Acadymic Press, New York, 1978.
- [3] Hermann, R., *Lie groups for physicists*, W.A. Benjamin Inc., New York, 1966.
- [4] Knapp, A. W., *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, 2nd edition, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002.
- [5] Varadarajan, V. S., *Lie groups, Lie algebras, and their representations*, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1984.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100871, P. R. CHINA  
*E-mail address:* `anjinpeng@pku.edu.cn`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100871, P. R. CHINA  
*E-mail address:* `zdwang@pku.edu.cn`